

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/503,401	-	02/14/2000	Ramin Rezaiifar	QCPA451DIV2	6558
23696	7590	07/17/2003			
Qualcomm	-	rated	EXAMINER		
Patents Dep 5775 Moreh		e	VOLPER, THOMAS E		
San Diego,	CA 9212	1-1714			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2697	
				DATE MAILED: 07/17/2003	L
•					7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/503,401		REZAIIFAR ET AL.	0					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
•	Thomas Vo	olper	2697						
The MAILING DATE of this communication a				ss					
Period for Reply		•							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I. 1.136(a). In no even eply within the statut d will apply and will ute. cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tim ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _									
,—	——· This action is r	non-final.							
24/	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims	_								
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application		sideration							
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
_	_ · · · · · ·								
,— .,—	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	l/or alastian ra	autromont							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers		quиетен.							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami				•					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 February 2000</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		- received							
1. Certified copies of the priority docume			ion No						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 			y (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-						
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Action Summar		Part of Paper No. 4						

Application/Control Number: 09/503,401

Art Unit: 2697

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 1 August, 2000 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the message structure of claim 3 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an

Application/Control Number: 09/503,401

Art Unit: 2697

international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Honkasalo (US Patent 6,137,789).

Regarding claim 1, Honkasalo discloses a mobile station for requesting multiple code channels for high speed data transmission. The mobile station requests a number of parallel code channels and a base station signals an assigned number of channels for a given period of time (col. 5, lines 58-67). Honkasalo shows data rates dependent on the number Supplemental Code Channels in Table 1 (col. 7, lines 1-12). The data rate of transmission is implicitly stated in the number of channels assigned to the mobile station by the base station. Thus, the signaling of assigned channels and given period of time by the base station meets the limitations of transmitting a message indicative of the rate of said data and the time interval over which data transmission will be transmitted.

Regarding claim 2, the frame type is inherent in the signaling of assigned code channels. Honkasalo discloses that supplemental code channels may be used by the mobile station to transmit high speed data. Thus, in response to a mobile station request, the signaling from the base station indicates the number of assigned supplemental code channels, whereby supplemental channel is the frame type.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/503,401

Art Unit: 2697

٥ البرق

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Honkasalo (US Patent 6,137,789).

Regarding claim 3, Honkasalo discloses signaling a data transmission rate, time interval and frame type from a base station to a mobile station (col. 5, lines 58-67; see also Table 1). Honkasalo fails to expressly discloses that the frame type is indicated by two bits and that the data transmission rate and time interval are both indicated by four bits. However, it is generally considered to be within the ordinary skill in the art to adjust, vary, select or optimize the numerical parameters or values of any system absent a showing of criticality in a particular recited value. The burden of showing criticality is on Applicant. In re Mason, 87 F.2d 370, 32 USPQ 242 (CCPA 1937); Marconi Wireless Telegraph Co. v. U.S., 320 U.S. 1, 57 USPQ 471 (1943); In re Schneider, 148 F.2d 108, 65 USPQ 129 (CCPA 1945); In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955); In re Saether, 492 F.2d 849, 181 USPQ 36 (CCPA 1974); In re Antonie, 559 F.2d 618, 195 USPQ 6 (CCPA 1977); In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use as many bits as necessary to indicate the frame type, data rate and time interval in the invention of Honkasalo. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because the number of bits needed to indicate these values may be greater or less than the specified number depending on the system implementation. For example, Honkasalo indicates that the data rate is specified by the number of supplemental channels that

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/503,401

Art Unit: 2697

. Kin

are assigned. If a maximum of eight channels may be assigned, then only three bits are necessary to indicate the data rate.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Kumar et al. (US Pat. 6,418,148) Burst-Level Resource Allocation in Cellular Systems

- Kim et al. (US Pat. 6,510,145) Method and Apparatus for Providing Packet Data

Service in a Communication System

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication, or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas Volper whose telephone number is 703-305-8405 and fax number is 703-746-9467. The examiner can normally be reached between 8:30am and 6:00pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu, can be reached at 703-308-6602. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

tev

July 14, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600